



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2096 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.08.2020 has sought information on 06 points regarding scheme No.53 of MC Amritsar – copy of map – marked area, detail of change of plan etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Corporation Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

Both the parties are absent.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2097 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 11.08.2020 has sought information on 02 points development work carried out by Jackson Infra at Durgiana Mandir etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Municipal Corporation Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

Both the parties are absent.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Executive Officer,
Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2093 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Mandeep Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.08.2020 has sought information on 05 points regarding development work carried out by Jackson Developer from March, 2015 under PIDB and other schemes as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per the respondent, the appellant has expired.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Executive Officer,
Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2094 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Mandeep Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 17.08.2020 has sought information on 02 points of development work carried out by Anil Bansal and Ambika Cooperative Society from March, 2012 to March, 2017 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per the respondent, the appellant has expired.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Municipal Corporation
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2095 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 26.08.2020 has sought information on 06 points regarding colonies, plots regularisation policy 2019 by Punjab Local Body as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

Both the parties are absent.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Executive Officer,
Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2091 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Mandeep Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 22.01.2020 has sought information on 04 points regarding maintenance of water supply and sewerage system from March, 2007 to March, 2019 as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per respondent, the appellant has expired.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Executive Officer,
Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2092 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Mandeep Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 17.02.2020 has sought information on 02 points regarding vacant plots in different schemes of the Trust – number and owner of trust scheme and size of each as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per the respondent, the appellant has expired.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action is required.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, (7009729370)
SCO No. 88, District Shopping Centre,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chief Executive Officer,
Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2090 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Mandeep Singh, JE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.08.2020 has sought information on 03 points regarding development work carried out by Jackson Infra under PIDP from 01.03.2015 – Estimate of work done, copy of MB and copy of as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.10.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has already been heard by Sh.HeminderSingh, State Information Commissioner on 31.08.2021 & 11.11.2021. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent on both hearings. The PIO was directed to file written submission and appear on the next date of hearing personally.

The case has come up for **hearing today before this Bench through** video conferencing at DAC Amritsar.

As per the respondent, the appellant has expired.

The notice issued to the appellant has been returned by the postal authorities with the remarks "the appellant has expired".

Since the appellant has expired, no further action to be taken.

The case is **closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Smt. Inderveer Kaur, W/o Lt Sh Iqbal Preet Singh,
R/o B-1206, Street No-3, New Partap Nagar,
GurlalBajar, Amritsar. .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1043 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Rakesh Kumar, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.08.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the medical bill of Rs.189931/- sent for verification by Xen PWD – latest status of the bill – if approved by Director Health, to provide a copy of the approval and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 13.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar/Ludhiana. As per the representative of the appellant, they had received no reply from the PIO. The respondent present pleaded that the bill has been sent to the Department of Health, Pb Chandigarh.

The PIO was directed to procure the information from the Department of Health, Chandigarh and sent it to the appellant within 15 days.

On the date of the hearing on **09.11.2021**, both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of last hearing on **16.03.2022**, both the parties were absent.

The Commission received a copy of the reply of the PIO dated 24.02.2020 through email stating that the medical bill duly passed by DHS Chandigarh was sent to the concerned department vide letter No.2345 dated 11.09.2020.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observed that the PIO has not supplied the copy of the letter vide which the bill after approval was sent to the concerned department and the copy of the approval letter. The PIO was directed to send complete information to the appellant as per the RTI application.

Hearing dated 06.07.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant is absent on the 3rd consecutive hearing nor is represented. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated : 06.07.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner